

LITERATURE CITED

1. L. P. Slesarchuk, V. T. Kolesnikov, and B. V. Boldyrev, *Zh. Organ. Khim.*, **9**, 2155 (1973).
2. West German Patent No. 1114194 (1959); *Chem. Abstr.*, **56**, 4740 (1962).
3. C. Shellhammer and S. Petersen, *Liebigs Ann.*, **624**, 108 (1959).
4. V. Pratt and N. Drake, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **82**, 1155 (1960).
5. T. Urbanski and S. Krzyżanowski, *Roczniki Chem.*, **27**, 390 (1953).

RESEARCH ON UNSATURATED AZOLE DERIVATIVES

IV.* ALKYLATION OF INDAZOLE WITH PROPARGYL BROMIDE

P. V. Tkachenko, I. I. Popov,
A. M. Simonov, and Yu. V. Medvedev

UDC 547.779:542.953

The alkylation of indazole with propargyl bromide and 2,3-dibromo-1-propene was investigated. It is shown that the ratio of the resulting isomeric 1- and 2-propargyl indazoles is determined by the reaction conditions. 1-(2'-Propynyl)indazole is readily isomerized in the presence of potassium hydroxide to 1-propadienylindazole.

It is well known that the alkylation of indazoles gives isomeric 1- and 2-alkyl derivatives, the ratio of which depends on the indazole compound and the synthetic conditions [2]. However, the reaction of indazole with unsaturated alkyl halides has not been studied, and only the synthesis of 1-allylindazole has been described [3]. Continuing our research on the synthesis of propargyl-substituted azoles [4, 5], we studied the reaction of indazole with propargyl bromide.

We found that indazole (I) readily reacts with propargyl bromide in liquid ammonia in the presence of sodium amide to give 1- and 2-propargylindazoles II and III in a ratio of 13:3, respectively. Alkylation of the sodium salt of indazole by refluxing it with propargyl bromide in toluene is accompanied by resinification. The ratio of isomers II and III in this case is 5:2. Replacement of the toluene by benzene or tetrahydrofuran (THF) reduces resinification, but it lowers the yields of the reaction products; the ratio of the isomers also changes to favor the formation of isomer II. Refluxing the silver salt of indazole with propargyl bromide in toluene gives a mixture of isomers II and III in a ratio of 6:5. Indazole reacts extremely smoothly with propargyl bromide on refluxing in a neutral medium (ethanol or butanol). In this case exclusively isomer III is formed. Structures II and III were assigned to the isomers obtained in this study on the basis of a comparison of their UV spectra with the spectra of 1-methyl- and 2-methylindazole [6].

The reaction of I with 2,3-dibromo-1-propene in alcoholic alkali gives only 1-(β -bromoallyl)indazole (IV), the dehydrobromination of which with sodium amide in liquid ammonia gives II.

Like 1-propargylbenzimidazole, II is readily isomerized by the action of potassium hydroxide in THF at 0°C to 1-propadienylindazole V, which can also be obtained under these conditions from IV. The IR spectrum of V contains ν_{as} bands at 1960 ($-C=C=C-$) and 890 cm^{-1} ($>C=CH_2$ out-of-plane deformation vibrations), which are characteristic for terminal allenes [7].

1-(β -Ethoxyallyl)indazole (VI) is formed in the dehydrobromination of IV in alcoholic potassium hydroxide. The reaction evidently proceeds through the intermediate formation of allene V, the nucleophilic addition of alcohol to which also leads to VI (see [5]). This conclusion is confirmed by the conversion of II and V to VI by the action of alcoholic potassium hydroxide.

*See [1] for communication III.

Rostov State University, Rostov-on-Don. Translated from *Khimiya Geterotsikhlicheskikh Soedinenii*, No. 11, pp. 1542-1544, November, 1975. Original article submitted December 20, 1974.

©1976 Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

was held at -70° for 1 h, after which it was allowed to stand at room temperature until the ammonia had evaporated. The residue was treated with 30 ml of water and extracted with ether to give 1 g (68%) of product.

1-Propadienyldiazole (V). A) A 1.56-g (0.01 mole) sample of II was added with vigorous stirring to a cooled (to 0°) suspension of 1.7 g (0.03 mole) of potassium hydroxide in 15 ml of THF (or ether), after which the mixture was held at 0° for 1 h. It was then treated with 50 ml of water and extracted with ether to give 1.48 g (95%) of colorless oil that was quite soluble in organic solvents, insoluble in water, and unstable on storage. The picrate was obtained as yellow prisms with mp $174-175^{\circ}$ (from ethanol). Found: C 50.2; H 2.5; N 18.0%. $C_{10}H_8N_2 \cdot C_6H_3N_3O_7$. Calculated: C 49.9; H 2.9; N 18.1%.

B) This compound was also obtained in 80% yield from IV by method A.

1-(β -Ethoxyallyl)diazole (VI). A) A solution of 1.2 g (5 mmole) of IV and 2 g of potassium hydroxide in 10 ml of ethanol was held at room temperature for 8 h, after which the solid material was removed by filtration, the solvent was removed by distillation, and the residual oil was chromatographed on Al_2O_3 (elution with ether) to give 1.84 g (91%) of a colorless oil that was quite soluble in ether, chloroform, and acetone but insoluble in water. IR spectrum: $\nu_{C=C}$ 1628 cm^{-1} . The picrate was obtained as yellow needles with mp $179-180^{\circ}$ (from ethanol). Found: C 50.5; H 4.5; N 16.6%. $C_{12}H_{14}N_2O \cdot C_6H_3N_3O_7$. Calculated: C 50.1; H 3.9; N 16.2%.

B) This compound was obtained as described in method A from V and potassium hydroxide by gentle heating (at $45-50^{\circ}$) of the reactants. The yield was 88%.

C) Compound VI was obtained by refluxing II in an alcohol solution of potassium hydroxide for 3 h. The yield was 67%.

The picrates of the compounds obtained by methods A, B, and C were identical.

LITERATURE CITED

1. A. A. Zubenko, I. I. Popov, and A. M. Simonov, *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.*, 1544 (1974).
2. R. Elderfield, *Heterocyclic Compounds*, Vol. 5, Wiley (1950-1957).
3. K. Auwers and W. Schaich, *Ber.*, 54, 1738 (1921).
4. I. I. Popov, P. V. Tkachenko, and A. M. Simonov, *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.*, 551 (1974).
5. P. V. Tkachenko, I. I. Popov, and A. M. Simonov, *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.*, 1540 (1975).
6. Zhao Ér-Chan and M. N. Shchukina, *Zh. Obsheh. Khim.*, 1012 (1959).
7. J. H. Wotiz and D. E. Maucuse, *J. Org. Chem.*, 22, 207 (1957).
8. L. R. Byrd and M. C. Caserio, *J. Org. Chem.*, 37, 36 (1972).